Dose-dense versus 3-weekly ac during neoadjuvant chemoimmunotherapy for early-stage triple-negative breast cancer: GBECAM 0123 – the neo-real study

Renata Rodrigues da Cunha Colombo Bonadio^{1,2}, Monique Tavares³, Daniela Dornelles Rosa^{2,4}, Mayana Lopes de Brito^{2,5}, Débora de Melo Gagliato^{2,6}, Daniele Assad-Suzuki^{2,7}, Laura Testa^{1,2}, Romualdo Barroso-Sousa^{2,8}

¹D'Or Institute for Research and Education.
²Brazilian Breast Cancer Study Group.
³A.C. Camargo Cancer Center.
⁴Hospital Moinhos de Vento, Oncology Service.
⁵DASA Oncology, Clínica AMO.
⁶Hospital Beneficência Portuguesa, Oncology Center.
⁷Hospital Sírio-Libanês, Medical Oncology Department.
⁸DASA Oncology, Brasília Hospital.

Objective: This analysis aims to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of dose-dense AC (ddAC) compared with every 3 weeks (q3w) AC during neoadjuvant pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy (P+CT) for triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC). Methodology: The Neo-Real study is a collaborative real-world data effort evaluating patients treated with neoadjuvant P+CT since July 2020 in 10 cancer centers. Effectiveness endpoints were pathologic complete response (pCR) and residual cancer burden (RCB) 0-1. Factors associated with pCR and RCB 0-1 were also explored. Safety endpoints included drug discontinuation, grade ≥3 adverse events (AEs), and antibiotics use. **Results:** Among 333 patients included to date, 311 finished the neoadjuvant therapy phase (safety cohort) and 279 underwent surgery with available pathology reports (effectiveness cohort). ddAC was used in 58.2% and q3w AC in 41.8% of the cases. Most patients (69.1%) had stage II TNBC. A pCR was observed in 65.4% with ddAC and 58.7% with q3w AC (p=0.260), while RCB 0–1 occurred in 82.4% and 73.5%, respectively (p=0.115). Patients with stage III disease had a numerically higher pCR with ddAC (59% vs. 40%, p=0.155), while pCR rates were similar regardless of AC schedule in stage II disease (66.6% vs. 64.5%; p=0.760). Ki67 ≥50%, tumor grade 3, and TILs ≥30% were identified as predictors of higher pCR rates, while clinical stage III and receiving <6 cycles of neoadjuvant pembrolizumab were associated with a decreased pCR. While no significant disparities in drug discontinuation or antibiotics use were noted, ddAC showed a trend toward higher rates of grade \geq 3 AE (40.5% vs. 30.7%, p=0.092), particularly febrile neutropenia (16% vs. 9.2%). Conclusion: The Neo-Real study found no statistically significant differences in effectiveness or safety between ddAC and q3w AC during neoadjuvant P+CT. However, the numerically higher pCR rates with ddAC in patients with stage III disease deserve further investigation.

Keywords: triple-negative breast neoplasms; immunotherapy; anthracyclines; neoadjuvant therapy.